

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/567,599	FUJITA, YASUHIRO	

Examiner	Art Unit	
SEAN D. ANDRISH	3672	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) SEAN D. ANDRISH. (3) _____.

(2) Leighton Chong. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2010

Time: 3:00pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant to inform him that the application was in condition for allowance if the claim limitations of dependent claims 2 and 4 were incorporated into independent claim 1, claims 2 and 4 were cancelled, claim 3 was amended to depend upon claim 1, and editorial changes were made to claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 16 to correct antecedent basis errors. Changes were agreed upon. Examiner will generate an examiner's amendment to make the agreed upon changes..